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#### Abstract

This session will begin with an introduction of the concept of Lexical Ambiguity including relevant research and its importance to the study of statistics education. The session participants will then use think-pairshare in increasingly larger groups to identify statistical terms that tend to be misunderstood by their students and to have the characteristics of lexical ambiguity. This activity will focus on identification of misconceptions about these words and ways to assess them. The researchers will then share the results of the research about 5 particular words that they have been studying for the last year. The session will end with a discussion of how to address some of the misconceptions and misuses through instruction and how to build statistical understanding of terms that have different meanings outside of the statistics class.
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## Research Results on backside

| Students Statistical Definitions of AVERAGE |  |  | Number of Subjects |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Pilot Study | Validation Sample |
|  |  | Word only | 11(22\%) | 26 (35\%) |
|  | Mean | statistical: incomplete or inaccurate | 5 (10\%) | 11 (15\%) |
|  |  | statistical: complete and accurate | 9 (18\%) | 17 (23\%) |
|  |  | word only | 1 (2\%) | 1 (1\%) |
| Center | Median | colloquial: in the middle, normal, standard | 3 (6\%) | 6 (8\%) |
|  |  | statistically correct | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | word only | 0 | 0 |
|  | Mode | colloquial: majority, most common | 5 (10\%) | 5 (7\%) |
|  |  | statistically correct | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
| Other Definitions | Sum |  | 4 (8\%) | 2 (3\%) |
|  | Frequency |  | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  | Approximation |  | 2 (4\%) | 0 |
|  | Representative Number |  | 2 (4\%) | 1 (1\%) |
|  | Number used in inference |  | 1 (2\%) | 0 |
|  | Range of numbers |  | 0 | 0 |
| Not Classified |  |  | 4 (8\%) | 6 (8\%) |


| Students Statistical Definitions of <br> ASSOCIATION | Number of Subjects |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Pilot Study | Validation Sample |
| Incorrect statements: not about <br> relationships or comparing | $10(21 \%)$ | $3(5 \%)$ |
| Having something in common | $3(6 \%)$ | $10(16 \%)$ |
| Numerical comparisons | $10(21 \%)$ | $9(14 \%)$ |
| Indeterminate relationships or linkages | $15(31 \%)$ | $23(37 \%)$ |
| Relationships between variables | $9(19 \%)$ | $16(25 \%)$ |
| Not classified | $1(2 \%)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |


| Students Statistical Definitions of <br> SPREAD | Number of Subjects |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Pilot Study | Validation <br> Sample |
| A spread sheet (with data) | $14(30 \%)$ | $8(11 \%)$ |
| Range | $5(11 \%)$ | $20(27 \%)$ |
| Data (in a spread sheet or list) | $14(30 \%)$ | $1(1 \%)$ |
| To space numbers apart | $1(2 \%)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |
| Scattered numbers | $2(4 \%)$ | $2(3 \%)$ |
| A non-specific measure or calculation | $2(4 \%)$ | 0 |
| Equal distribution | $1(2 \%)$ | $4(5 \%)$ |
| Distribution of numbers | $1(2 \%)$ | $12(16 \%)$ |
| Measure of Variability | 0 | $11(15 \%)$ |
| Non-specific information from figure or graph | $2(4 \%)$ | $5(7 \%)$ |
| Not Classified | $5(11 \%)$ | $9(12 \%)$ |

