p.16 Equation (1.5) is the price for pigs in $/lb, not cents per pound.

Equation (3.33) should read

\[
\begin{align*}
360x_1 + 120x_2 + 180x_3 + 75x_4 + 25x_5 + 37.5x_6 &\leq 1000 \\
96x_1 + 24x_2 + 36x_3 + 20x_4 + 5x_5 + 7.5x_6 &\leq 300 \\
x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &\leq 5 \\
x_4 + x_5 + x_6 &\leq 1
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 3.29 should read

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MAX} & \quad 48000X_1 + 24000X_2 + 30000X_3 + 10000X_4 + 5000X_5 + 6250X_6 \\
\text{SUBJECT TO} & \\
2) & \quad 360X_1 + 120X_2 + 180X_3 + 75X_4 + 25X_5 + 37.5X_6 \leq 1000 \\
3) & \quad 96X_1 + 24X_2 + 36X_3 + 20X_4 + 5X_5 + 7.5X_6 \leq 300 \\
4) & \quad X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \leq 5 \\
5) & \quad X_4 + X_5 + X_6 \leq 1 \\
\text{END}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE} & 1) \quad 162250.0 \\
\text{VARIABLE} & \text{VALUE} \quad \text{REDUCED COST} \\
X_1 & 1.000000 \quad -48000.000000 \\
X_2 & 2.000000 \quad -24000.000000 \\
X_3 & 2.000000 \quad -30000.000000 \\
X_4 & 0.000000 \quad -10000.000000 \\
X_5 & 0.000000 \quad -5000.000000 \\
X_6 & 1.000000 \quad -6250.000000 \\
\text{ROW} & \text{SLACK OR SURPLUS} \quad \text{DUAL PRICES} \\
2) & 2.500000 \quad 0.000000 \\
3) & 76.500000 \quad 0.000000 \\
4) & 0.000000 \quad 0.000000 \\
5) & 0.000000 \quad 0.000000 \\
\text{NO. ITERATIONS=} & 95 \\
\end{array}
\]

Figure 3.29: Optimal solution to the modified farm problem using the linear programming package LINDO.
The optimal solution is \( y = 162,250 \) which occurs when \( x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 2, x_6 = 1 \), and the other decision variables are all zero.

Step 5 is to answer the question. If the family does not wish to split up individual plots (plan B), then the best plan is to plant one 120 acre plot of corn, two 120 acre plots of wheat, two 120 acre plots of oats, and one 25 acre plot of oats. This results in an expected total yield of $162,250 for the season. This is about 0.2% less than the projected total yield of $162,500 if we allow more than one crop per plot (plan A, the optimal solution found in Example 3.4). Plan A uses all of the acreage available, all of the irrigation water available, and all but 62.5 of the 300 person–hours of labor available each week. Plan B uses all of the acreage available, 997.5 of the 1000 available acre–feet of irrigation water, and only 223.5 of the available 300 person–hours of labor available each week. We leave it to the family to decide which plan is best.

Figure 3.30 should read

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MAX} & \quad 48000 \; X_1 + 24000 \; X_2 + 30000 \; X_3 + 10000 \; X_4 + 5000 \; X_5 + 6250 \; X_6 \\
\text{SUBJECT TO} & \\
2) & \quad 360 \; X_1 + 120 \; X_2 + 180 \; X_3 + 75 \; X_4 + 25 \; X_5 + 37.5 \; X_6 \leq 1100 \\
3) & \quad 96 \; X_1 + 24 \; X_2 + 36 \; X_3 + 20 \; X_4 + 5 \; X_5 + 7.5 \; X_6 \leq 300 \\
4) & \quad X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \leq 5 \\
5) & \quad X_4 + X_5 + X_6 \leq 1 \\
\text{END} \\
\text{GIN} & \quad 6 \\
\text{OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE} \\
1) & \quad 172000.0 \\
\text{VARIABLE} & \quad \text{VALUE} & \quad \text{REDUCED COST} \\
X_1 & \quad 1.000000 & \quad -48000.000000 \\
X_2 & \quad 1.000000 & \quad -24000.000000 \\
X_3 & \quad 3.000000 & \quad -30000.000000 \\
X_4 & \quad 1.000000 & \quad -10000.000000 \\
X_5 & \quad 0.000000 & \quad -5000.000000 \\
X_6 & \quad 0.000000 & \quad -6250.000000 \\
\text{ROW} & \quad \text{SLACK OR SURPLUS} & \quad \text{DUAL PRICES}
\end{align*}
\]
Figure 3.30: Optimal solution to the modified farm problem with an additional 100 acre–feet of water available.

Now we plant one 120–acre plot and one 25–acre plot of corn, one 120–acre plot of wheat, and three 120–acre plots of oats. Our optimal solution is quite sensitive to the amount of irrigation water available, even though this constraint was not binding in our original IP solution. The new plan yields an additional $9,750 in expected revenue.

Figure 3.31 should read:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MAX} & \quad 48000 \, X_1 + 24000 \, X_2 + 30000 \, X_3 + 10000 \, X_4 + 5000 \, X_5 + 6250 \, X_6 \\
\text{SUBJECT TO} & \\
\text{2) } & \quad 360 \, X_1 + 120 \, X_2 + 180 \, X_3 + 75 \, X_4 + 25 \, X_5 + 37.5 \, X_6 \leq 950 \\
\text{3) } & \quad 96 \, X_1 + 24 \, X_2 + 36 \, X_3 + 20 \, X_4 + 5 \, X_5 + 7.5 \, X_6 \leq 300 \\
\text{4) } & \quad X_1 + X_2 + X_3 \leq 5 \\
\text{5) } & \quad X_4 + X_5 + X_6 \leq 1 \\
\text{END} & \quad \text{GIN 6}
\end{align*}
\]

\text{OBJECTIVE FUNCTION VALUE}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1) } & \quad 156250.0
\end{align*}
\]

\text{VARIABLE} \quad \text{VALUE} \quad \text{REDUCED COST}

\begin{align*}
X_1 & \quad 0.000000 \quad -48000.000000 \\
X_2 & \quad 0.000000 \quad -24000.000000 \\
X_3 & \quad 5.000000 \quad -30000.000000 \\
X_4 & \quad 0.000000 \quad -10000.000000 \\
X_5 & \quad 0.000000 \quad -5000.000000 \\
X_6 & \quad 1.000000 \quad -6250.000000
\end{align*}

\text{ROW} \quad \text{SLACK OR SURPLUS} \quad \text{DUAL PRICES}

\begin{align*}
\text{2) } & \quad 12.500000 \quad 0.000000 \\
\text{3) } & \quad 112.500000 \quad 0.000000
\end{align*}
Figures 3.31: Optimal solution to the modified farm problem with 50 acre–feet less water available.

The optimal IP solution is to plant oats on all 625 acres. We use all but 12.5 acre–feet of water, and all the land, but we have 112.5 person–hours of labor per week to spare. The expected total yield is $156,250, which is only $6,000 less than before. This illustrates the unpredictable nature of IP solutions. For a 5% decrease in the amount of available irrigation water, instead of planting 360 acres of corn and wheat, we should plant oats everywhere.

p.99 The 10-cubic-yard trucks take 20 minutes to load, and the 20-cubic-yard trucks take 30 minutes to load.

The labels $R$ and $C$ should be swapped on Figure 5.6.

p.235 The interval (7.24) contains 1 for any value of $n$ between 147 and 199. Change 198 to 199 (twice).

p.335 l.-4 The units are wrong. 540 mCi = 0.54 Ci of tritium was injected. Thanks to Merrick Pierson Smela for noticing this.