## Lecture Outline 1 - 14 - 09

**Estimated Margin of Error for**  $\overline{x}$ . Consult pp. 62-65. This follow-on to

lecture 1-12-09 extends the margin of error concept to the sample average  $\overline{x}$ . I am again considering the case in which n and N-n are both "large enough" so that a bell curve approximation is justified. I will **not** introduce Student's-t at this point, so the readings of pp. 586-606 are not particularly relevant.

A Question: What is the average number  $\mu$  of pictures or graphics per page from pp. 1 to 767 of our textbook? This "population average"  $\mu$  (Greek, pronounced "mu") is ordinarily estimated by the sample average  $\overline{x}$ . What is the estimated margin of error in this setup?

I've randomly sampled 36 pages by perusing the table of random digits A-94, skipping over those outside the range 001 to 767 (consult the table). Those in **bold** have graphics and I've indicated the number of graphics in parentheses (graphic sub-components of a graphic display are not counted individually. It is a serious point, needing clarification for serious work, but let's just suppose that we'recounting graphics drawing attention to markedly different parts of the page.

716, **032**(**1**), 463 473, **200**(**1**), 731 **727**(**1**), 039, 759 (skip 944) 043 (skip 890 and 877) **764**(**3**), **364**(**1**), **132**(**1**) 512, 678, **098**(**3**) **181**(**3**), **027**(**1**), 133 **622**(**2**) (skip 922) **666**(**1**), **310**(**1**) (skip 844) **720**(**1**) (skip 945) 639, **112**(**5**), **285**(**1**) (skip duplicate 112) 429, **471**(**1**) (skip duplicate 112) **647**(**1**) (skip 770) **183**(**1**), 071, 359 412, **585**(**1**), 428 **042**(**2**)

Here are resulting scores x = number of graphics on each page of 36 sample pages:  $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 3, 1, 1, 0, 0, 3, 3, 1, 0, 2, 1, 1, 1, 0, 5, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 2\}$ 

**1a.** The sample average number of graphics per page is

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n} = \frac{1+1+1+3+1+1+3+3+1+2+1+1+1+5+1+1+1+1+1+2}{36}$$

$$= \frac{16(0)+14(1)+2(2)+3(3)+1(5)}{36}$$

$$\sim 0.888889.$$

The sample standard deviation s (see page 64) is computed

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{16(0 - 0.888889)^2 + 14(1 - 0.888889)^2 + 2(2 - 0.888889)^2 + 3(3 - 0.888889)^2 + 1(5 - 0.888889)^2}{36 - 1}}$$

$$\sim 1.14087$$

1b.

Point estimate of  $\mu = \overline{x} \sim 0.888889$  and sample standard deviation s ~ 1.14087.

**Estimated margin of error of the estimator**  $\overline{x}$  is calculated as follows:

1.96 
$$\frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}} = 1.96 \frac{1.14087}{\sqrt{36}} \sqrt{\frac{767-36}{767-1}}$$
  
  $\sim 0.36407$ 

**1c.** Claim made for estimated margin of error:

Around 95% of random samples of n = 36 pages from the book of

N = 767 pages will produce an interval

 $\overline{x}$  ± estimated margin of error

that will cover the actual value of  $\mu$  = average number of pictures/graphics per page of pages 1 through 767 of the textbook.

Our random sample of n = 36 pages from the N = 767 pages of the book has produced the interval

 $\overline{x}$  ± estimated margin of error

$$= 0.888889 \pm 0.36407$$

$$= [0.5248196, 1.25296]$$

This interval is called a "95% confidence interval for  $\mu$ ."

- 1d. Around 95% of samples of 36 are "good samples" whose 95% confidence interval  $\bar{x} \pm \text{estimated margin of error}$  covers the true value of  $\mu$ . Is our sample of 36 a "good one?" We don't know. Nonetheless, the method of reporting the sampling results does give a pretty good idea of the reliability of the findings. For this 95% chance to apply we require that n is "large enough" and so too is N-n. At this point we're not putting too fine a point on it. Consult the readings.
- 2. Other confidence levels. Consult Table T page A-97. Consult the next to bottom row of this table (it has an  $\infty$  symbol at the left of that row, denoting large sample size). Notice the entry 1.960 of this row and that directly below this is confidence level 95%. Likewise, to obtain a 99% confidence level in the large sample confidence intervals for either p or for  $\mu$  you would substitute 2.576 ro 1.96 (see the final entries in the last two rows of the table). So the 99% confidence interval for  $\mu$ takes the form

$$\overline{x} \pm (2.576 \text{ not } 1.96) \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{\frac{N-n}{N-1}}$$

Claim: Around 99% of samples of n from N will produce an interval covering the population mean  $\mu$ . This requires random sampling and that both n, N-n are "large enough."

Later on we'll learn the t-table. For now, it is a convenient place to find the correct zvalue in order to have a confidence interval for confidence levels .8, .9, .95, .98, .99.