1. z-Cl for μ (equal probability with replacement sampling):

 $\overline{\mathbf{x} \pm z} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} (z = 1, 1.96, 3.09 \text{ for } 68\%, 95\%, 99\% \text{ confidence})$ P(μ is covered by $\overline{\mathbf{x} \pm z} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$) ~ P(|Z| < z), n large.

2. Hybrid z-Cl for μ (eq-prob with replacement sampling): Desired hybrid z-Cl half width W > 0 is specified in advance. Preliminary sample size must be suitable for applying z-Cl. Determine final sample size

$$\begin{split} &n_{\text{final}} = \left(z \; s_{\text{prelim}} \; \middle/ \; W\right)^2 \; (z = 1, \; 1.96, \; \text{for } 68\%, \; 95\%, \; \text{etc.}) \\ &\overline{\textbf{x}_{\text{final}} \pm W} \; (\text{but for rounding, } W = z \; s_{\text{prelim}} \; / \; \sqrt{n_{\text{final}}} \;). \\ & \mathsf{P}(\mu \text{ in } \overline{\textbf{x}_{\text{final}} \pm W}) \sim \mathsf{P}(\left| \; Z \; \right| < z), \; n_{\text{prelim}} \; \text{large.} \\ & \text{If } n_{\text{prelim}} \geq n_{\text{final}} \; \text{just use } z \text{-CI from preliminary sample.} \end{split}$$

3. z-Cl for μ (equal probability withOUT replacement sampling):

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm z \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} FPC \text{ with FPC} = \sqrt{(N - n)/(N - 1)}$$

$$P(\mu \text{ is covered by } \overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm z \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} FPC \text{ }) \sim P(|Z| < z), n, N-n \text{ large.}$$

4. t-Cl for μ (sampling from a NORMAL x distribution):

 $\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm t_{\alpha, df} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} & (t_{.025, \infty} = 1.96, t_{.025, 2} = 4.303, df = n - 1) \\ P(\mu \text{ is covered by } \overline{\mathbf{x}} \pm t_{\alpha, df} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}) = P(||T_{df}|| < t_{\alpha, df}) \\ \text{ideally (but for approximations in calculations) for n > 1.} \end{aligned}$

- 5. **z-Cl for** $\mu_x \mu_y$ (paired data, utilizing difference scores): $\overline{\mathbf{d} \pm z} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}$ (z = 1, 1.96, 3.09 for 68%, 95%, 99% confidence) $P(\mu_d = \mu_x - \mu_y \text{ is covered by } \overline{\mathbf{d} \pm z} \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}}) \sim P(|Z| < z), \text{ n large.}$ (n is the number of pairs, each of which has scores (x, y)).
- 6. z-Cl for μ_x - μ_y (utilizing UNpaired data):

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}\right) \pm z \sqrt{s_x^2 / n_x \oplus s_y^2 / n_y} \\ & \mathsf{P}(\mu_x \cdot \mu_y \text{ is covered by } \left[\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}\right) \pm z \sqrt{s_x^2 / n_x \oplus s_y^2 / n_y} \right] \\ & \sim \mathsf{P}(\left| \left| Z \right| < z), n_x, n_y \text{ large.} \end{aligned}$$

7. z-CI for μ_x (utilizing KNOWN strata population rates):

$$(\sum_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}_{i}}) \pm z \sqrt{\sum_{i} W_{i}^{2} s_{i}^{2} / n_{i}}$$

$$\mathsf{P}(\mu_{x} \text{ is covered by } (\sum_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i} \overline{\mathbf{x}_{i}}) \pm z \sqrt{\sum_{i} W_{i}^{2} s_{i}^{2}})$$

~ P(|Z| < z), n_x large.

Weights W_i must be the KNOWN fractions of the population in each stratum i. For example W_1 could denote the KNOWN fraction of males in the POPULATION, $\overline{x_1}$ denoting the SAMPLE mean age of men.

ni

8. z-Cl for μ_x (utilizing KNOWN population mean μ_y):

$$\left[\overline{\mathbf{x}} + (\mu_{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{y}}) \, \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \, \overline{\mathbf{y}}}{\overline{\mathbf{y}^2} - \overline{\mathbf{y}^2}} \right] \pm Z \, \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}} \, \sqrt{1 - r^2}$$

$$P(\mu_x \text{ is covered by } \left[\overline{\mathbf{x}} + (\mu_y - \overline{\mathbf{y}}) \, \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}} \overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}} \, \overline{\mathbf{y}}}{\overline{\mathbf{y}^2} - \overline{\mathbf{y}^2}} \right] \pm Z \, \frac{s_x}{\sqrt{n}} \, \sqrt{1 - r^2}$$

$$\sim P(|Z| < z), \text{ n large.}$$

Variable y must be gathered as data paired with x and the population mean μ_y must be known. For example, we are sampling business owners to estimate μ_x = population mean loss in business compared with last year. On the supposition that last year's tax y paid by the business may be correlated with x, and thus offer improved estimation for μ_x , we decide to ask each owner also for their tax paid last year. The average tax μ_y for the population of all businesses is a

number we can come up with. Our z-CI is narrower by the factor $\sqrt{1 - r^2} < 1$, where r denotes the sample correlation (of x with y) defined by

$$r = \frac{\overline{xy} - \overline{x}\,\overline{y}}{\sqrt{\overline{x^2} - \overline{x}^2}} \sqrt{\overline{y^2} - \overline{y}^2}$$

9. Chi-Square statistic, df, P-value.

| $\chi^2 = \sum_{\text{cells}} \frac{(\mathbf{O} - \mathbf{E})^2}{\mathbf{E}}$ | |
|---|---|
| df = # cells – 1 – # | (estimations needed to determine expected counts from data) |

P-value = P(χ^2 > chi-square statistic as seen from data)

For example, the model

AA Aa aa p^2 2p(1-p) $(1-p)^2$ for p = $\frac{2 \# AA + \# Aa \text{ in population}}{2 \# population}$

with data

16 8 6 total of 30 samples we estimate p by

$$\hat{p} = \frac{2 \# AA + \# Aa \text{ in sample}}{2 \times 30} = \frac{2 16 + 8}{2 \times 30} = \frac{40}{60} = \frac{2}{3}$$

Pro-rating 30 observations in accordance with this \hat{p} we get

E for AA = 30 \hat{p}^2 = 30 (2/3)² ~ 13.333333 E for Aa = 30 2 \hat{p} (1- \hat{p}) = 30 2 (2/3) (1/3) = 30 (2/3)² ~ 13.333333 E for aa = 30 $(1 - \hat{p})^2$ = 30 (1/3)² ~ 3.333333

Estimating \hat{p} , necessary to reduce the expected entries to actual numbers, will cost us one degree of freedom. So the resulting chi-square will have df = 3 - 1 - 1 = 1.

| | AA | Aa | aa |
|---|-----------|-----------|----------|
| 0 | 16 | 8 | 6 |
| Е | 13.333333 | 13.333333 | 3.333333 |

The chi-square statistic works out to $\chi^2 = \sum_{cells} \frac{(O-E)^2}{E} = 4.8$. The P-value is (using a computer) for df = 1,

P-value = P($\chi^2 > 4.8$) ~ 0.0284597 (i.e. $t_{0.0284597} = 4.8$).

It is therefore rather rare to encounter (as we have) a chi-square statistic with df = 1 as large or larger than 4.8. Either the model is incorrect or we have witnessed a rare event. Maybe not "bet your life on it" rare, but less than 3% rare.

Your table of chi-square has entries like $t_{.9} \sim 0.015791$ and $t_{0.1} \sim 2.70552$ (see df = 1).